

2017 Annual Drinking Water Quality Report

Eastmans Creek

Sub Division

Water System Number: **70-16-013**

We are pleased to present to you this year's Annual Drinking Water Quality Report. This report is a snapshot of last year's water quality. Included are details about your source(s) of water, what it contains, and how it compares to standards set by regulatory agencies. Our constant goal is to provide you with a safe and dependable supply of drinking water. We want you to understand the efforts we make to continually improve the water treatment process and protect our water resources. We are committed to ensuring the quality of your water and to providing you with this information because informed customers are our best allies. **If you have any questions about this report or concerning your water, please contact Wade Keeler at [(252) 728-2130]. We want our valued customers to be informed about their water utility. If you want to learn more, please attend any of our regularly scheduled meetings. They are held at the Train Depot (610 Broad St. Beaufort, NC 28516), on the second Monday of each month.**

What EPA Wants You to Know

Drinking water, including bottled water, may reasonably be expected to contain at least small amounts of some contaminants. The presence of contaminants does not necessarily indicate that water poses a health risk. More information about contaminants and potential health effects can be obtained by calling the Environmental Protection Agency's Safe Drinking Water Hotline (800-426-4791).

Some people may be more vulnerable to contaminants in drinking water than the general population. Immuno-compromised persons such as persons with cancer undergoing chemotherapy, persons who have undergone organ transplants, people with HIV/AIDS or other immune system disorders, some elderly, and infants can be particularly at risk from infections. These people should seek advice about drinking water from their health care providers. EPA/CDC guidelines on appropriate means to lessen the risk of infection by *Cryptosporidium* and other microbial contaminants are available from the Safe Drinking Water Hotline (800-426-4791).

If present, elevated levels of lead can cause serious health problems, especially for pregnant women and young children. Lead in drinking water is primarily from materials and components associated with service lines and home plumbing. [Name of Utility] is responsible for providing high quality drinking water, but cannot control the variety of materials used in plumbing components. When your water has been sitting for several hours, you can minimize the potential for lead exposure by flushing your tap for 30 seconds to 2 minutes before using water for drinking or cooking. If you are concerned about lead in your water, you may wish to have your water tested. Information on lead in drinking water, testing methods, and steps you can take to minimize exposure is available from the Safe Drinking Water Hotline or at <http://www.epa.gov/safewater/lead>.

The sources of drinking water (both tap water and bottled water) include rivers, lakes, streams, ponds, reservoirs, springs, and wells. As water travels over the surface of the land or through the ground, it dissolves naturally-occurring minerals and, in some cases, radioactive material, and can pick up substances resulting from the presence of animals or from human activity. Contaminants that may be present in source water include microbial contaminants, such as viruses and bacteria, which may come from sewage treatment plants, septic systems, agricultural livestock operations, and wildlife; inorganic contaminants, such as salts and metals, which can be naturally-occurring or result from urban stormwater runoff, industrial or domestic wastewater discharges, oil and gas production, mining, or farming; pesticides and herbicides, which may come from a variety of sources such as agriculture, urban stormwater runoff, and residential uses; organic chemical contaminants, including synthetic and volatile organic chemicals, which are by-products of industrial processes and petroleum production, and can also come from gas stations, urban stormwater runoff, and septic systems; and radioactive contaminants, which can be naturally-occurring or be the result of oil and gas production and mining activities.

In order to ensure that tap water is safe to drink, EPA prescribes regulations which limit the amount of certain contaminants in water provided by public water systems. FDA regulations establish limits for contaminants in bottled water, which must provide the same protection for public health.

When You Turn on Your Tap, Consider the Source

The water that is used by this system is purchased from Carteret County Water and their facility is located at 534 Laurel Road, Beaufort, NC 28516.

Source Water Assessment Program (SWAP) Results

The North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources (DENR), Public Water Supply (PWS) Section, Source Water Assessment Program (SWAP) conducted assessments for all drinking water sources across North Carolina. The purpose of the assessments was to determine the susceptibility of each drinking water source (well or surface water intake) to Potential Contaminant Sources (PCSs). The results of the assessment are available in SWAP Assessment Reports that include maps, background information and a relative susceptibility rating of Higher, Moderate or Lower.

The relative susceptibility rating of each source for North River/ Mill Creek System was determined by combining the contaminant rating (number and location of PCSs within the assessment area) and the inherent vulnerability rating (i.e., characteristics or existing conditions of the well or watershed and its delineated assessment area). The assessment findings are summarized in the table below:

Susceptibility of Sources to Potential Contaminant Sources (PCSs)

Source Name	Susceptibility Rating	SWAP Report Date
Well # 1	Lower	July 2015
Well #2	Lower	July 2015

The complete SWAP Assessment report for North River/Mill Creek System may be viewed on the Web at: www.ncwater.org/pws/swap. Note that because SWAP results and reports are periodically updated by the PWS Section, the results available on this web site may differ from the results that were available at the time this CCR was prepared. If you are unable to access your SWAP report on the web, you may mail a written request for a printed copy to: Source Water Assessment Program – Report Request, 1634 Mail Service Center, Raleigh, NC 27699-1634, or email requests to swap@ncdenr.gov. Please indicate your system name, number, and provide your name, mailing address and phone number. If you have any questions about the SWAP report please contact the Source Water Assessment staff by phone at 919-707-9098.

It is important to understand that a susceptibility rating of “higher” does not imply poor water quality, only the system’s potential to become contaminated by PCSs in the assessment area.

Help Protect Your Source Water

Protection of drinking water is everyone’s responsibility. You can help protect your community’s drinking and source water through the following actions: disposing of chemicals and paints properly, taking used motor oil to a recycling center, using proper landscape placement and practicing water conservation in and around your home.

Violations that Your Water System Received for the Report Year

During 2017, we received no violations that covered the time period of January 1st to December 31st 2017.

Water Quality Data Tables of Detected Contaminants

We routinely monitor for over 150 contaminants in your drinking water according to Federal and State laws. The tables below list all the drinking water contaminants that we detected in the last round of sampling for each particular contaminant group. The presence of contaminants does not necessarily indicate that water poses a health risk. **Unless otherwise noted, the data presented in this table is from testing done January 1 through December 31, (2017).** The EPA and the State allow us to monitor for certain contaminants less than once per year because the concentrations of these contaminants are not expected to vary significantly from year to year. Some of the data, though representative of the water quality, is more than one year old.

Unregulated contaminants are those for which EPA has not established drinking water standards. The purpose of unregulated contaminant monitoring is to assist EPA in determining the occurrence of unregulated contaminants in drinking water and whether future regulations are warranted.

Important Drinking Water Definitions:

Not-Applicable (N/A) – Information not applicable/not required for that particular water system or for that particular rule.

Non-Detects (ND) - Laboratory analysis indicates that the contaminant is not present at the level of detection set for the particular

methodology used.

Parts per million (ppm) or Milligrams per liter (mg/L) - One part per million corresponds to one minute in two years or a single penny in \$10,000.

Parts per billion (ppb) or Micrograms per liter (ug/L) - One part per billion corresponds to one minute in 2,000 years, or a single penny in \$10,000,000.

Parts per trillion (ppt) or Nanograms per liter (nanograms/L) - One part per trillion corresponds to one minute in 2,000,000 years, or a single penny in \$10,000,000,000.

Parts per quadrillion (ppq) or Picograms per liter (picograms/L) - One part per quadrillion corresponds to one minute in 2,000,000,000 years or one penny in \$10,000,000,000,000.

Picocuries per liter (pCi/L) - Picocuries per liter is a measure of the radioactivity in water.

Million Fibers per Liter (MFL) - Million fibers per liter is a measure of the presence of asbestos fibers that are longer than 10 micrometers.

Nephelometric Turbidity Unit (NTU) - Nephelometric turbidity unit is a measure of the clarity of water. Turbidity in excess of 5 NTU is just noticeable to the average person.

Action Level (AL) - The concentration of a contaminant which, if exceeded, triggers treatment or other requirements which a water system must follow.

Treatment Technique (TT) - A required process intended to reduce the level of a contaminant in drinking water.

Maximum Residual Disinfection Level (MRDL) – The highest level of a disinfectant allowed in drinking water. There is convincing evidence that addition of a disinfectant is necessary for control of microbial contaminants.

Maximum Residual Disinfection Level Goal (MRDLG) – The level of a drinking water disinfectant below which there is no known or expected risk to health. MRDLGs do not reflect the benefits of the use of disinfectants to control microbial contaminants.

Locational Running Annual Average (LRAA) – The average of sample analytical results for samples taken at a particular monitoring location during the previous four calendar quarters under the Stage 2 Disinfectants and Disinfection Byproducts Rule.

Level 1 Assessment - A Level 1 assessment is a study of the water system to identify potential problems and determine (if possible) why total coliform bacteria have been found in our water system.

Level 2 Assessment - A Level 2 assessment is a very detailed study of the water system to identify potential problems and determine (if possible) why an E. coli MCL violation has occurred and/or why total coliform bacteria have been found in our water system on multiple occasions.

Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) - The highest level of a contaminant that is allowed in drinking water. MCLs are set as close to the MCLGs as feasible using the best available treatment technology.

Maximum Contaminant Level Goal (MCLG) - The level of a contaminant in drinking water below which there is no known or expected risk to health. MCLGs allow for a margin of safety.



Tables of Detected Contaminants

TOTAL COLIFORM RULE (Samples Taken January 1, 2016 through March 31, 2016):

Microbiological Contaminants in the Distribution System – taken by the Town of Beaufort For systems that collect *less than 40* samples per month.

Contaminant (units)	MCL Violation Y/N	Your Water	MCLG	MCL	Likely Source of Contamination
Total Coliform Bacteria (presence or absence)	N	0	0	1 positive sample / month*	Naturally present in the environment
Fecal Coliform or <i>E. coli</i> (presence or absence)	N	0	0	Note: If either an original routine sample and/or its repeat samples(s) are fecal coliform or <i>E. coli</i> positive, a Tier 1 violation exists.	Human and animal fecal waste

* If a system collecting fewer than 40 samples per month has two or more positive samples in one month, the system has a MCL violation.

Microbiological Contaminants in the Distribution System – taken by Carteret County For systems that collect *less than 40* samples per month.

Contaminant (units)	MCL Violation Y/N	Your Water	MCLG	MCL	Likely Source of Contamination
Total Coliform Bacteria (presence or absence)	N	0	0	1 positive sample / month*	Naturally present in the environment
Fecal Coliform or <i>E. coli</i> (presence or absence)	N	0	0	Note: If either an original routine sample and/or its repeat samples(s) are fecal coliform or <i>E. coli</i> positive, a Tier 1 violation exists.	Human and animal fecal waste

* If a system collecting fewer than 40 samples per month has two or more positive samples in one month, the system has a MCL violation.

REVISED TOTAL COLIFORM RULE (Samples taken April 1, 2016 through December 31, 2016):

Microbiological Contaminants in the Distribution System – taken by the Town of Beaufort For systems that collect *less than 40* samples per month

Contaminant (units)	MCL Violation Y/N	Your Water	MCLG	MCL	Likely Source of Contamination
Total Coliform Bacteria (presence or absence)	N	0	0	TT*	Naturally present in the environment
<i>E. coli</i> (presence or absence)	N	0	0	Routine and repeat samples are total coliform-positive and either is <i>E. coli</i> -positive or system fails to take repeat samples following <i>E. coli</i> -positive routine sample or system fails to analyze total coliform-positive repeat sample for <i>E. coli</i> Note: If either an original routine sample and/or its repeat samples(s) are <i>E. coli</i> positive, a Tier 1 violation exists.	Human and animal fecal waste

* If a system collecting fewer than 40 samples per month has two or more positive samples in one month, an assessment is required.

Microbiological Contaminants in the Distribution System – taken by Carteret County For systems that collect *less than 40* samples per month

Contaminant (units)	MCL Violation Y/N	Your Water	MCLG	MCL	Likely Source of Contamination
Total Coliform Bacteria (presence or absence)	N	0	0	TT*	Naturally present in the environment

<i>E. coli</i> (presence or absence)	N	0	0	<p>Routine and repeat samples are total coliform-positive and either is <i>E. coli</i>-positive or system fails to take repeat samples following <i>E. coli</i>-positive routine sample or system fails to analyze total coliform-positive repeat sample for <i>E. coli</i></p> <p>Note: If either an original routine sample and/or its repeat samples(s) are <i>E. coli</i> positive, a Tier 1 violation exists.</p>	Human and animal fecal waste
---	---	---	---	--	------------------------------

* If a system collecting fewer than 40 samples per month has two or more positive samples in one month, an assessment is required.

Nitrate/Nitrite Contaminants – taken by Carteret County

Contaminant (units)	Sample Date	MCL Violation Y/N	Your Water	Range Low High	MCLG	MCL	Likely Source of Contamination
Nitrate (as Nitrogen) (ppm)	7/5/17	N	Not Detected	N/A	10	10	Runoff from fertilizer use; leaching from septic tanks, sewage; erosion of natural deposits
Nitrite (as Nitrogen) (ppm)	7/5/17	N	Not Detected	N/A	1	1	Runoff from fertilizer use; leaching from septic tanks, sewage; erosion of natural deposits

Nitrate: Nitrate in drinking water at levels above 10 ppm is a health risk for infants of less than six months of age. High nitrate levels in drinking water can cause blue baby syndrome. Nitrate levels may rise quickly for short periods of time because of rainfall or agricultural activity. If you are caring for an infant you should ask advice from your health care provider.

Synthetic Organic Chemical (SOC) Contaminants Including Pesticides and Herbicides – taken by Carteret County

Contaminant (units)	Sample Date	MCL Violation Y/N	Your Water	Range Low High	MCLG	MCL	Likely Source of Contamination
2,4-D (ppb)	4/7/17	N	Not Detected		70	70	Runoff from herbicide used on row crops
2,4,5-TP (Silvex) (ppb)	4/7/17	N	Not Detected		50	50	Residue of banned herbicide
Alachlor (ppb)	4/7/17	N	Not Detected		0	2	Runoff from herbicide used on row crops
Atrazine (ppb)	4/7/17	N	Not Detected		3	3	Runoff from herbicide used on row crops
Benzo(a)pyrene (PAH) (ppt)	4/7/17	N	Not Detected		0	200	Leaching from linings of water storage tanks and distribution lines
Carbofuran (ppb)	4/7/17	N	Not Detected		40	40	Leaching of soil fumigant used on rice and alfalfa
Chlordane (ppb)	4/7/17	N	Not Detected		0	2	Residue of banned termiticide
Dalapon (ppb)	4/7/17	N	Not Detected		200	200	Runoff from herbicide used on rights of way
Di(2-ethylhexyl) adipate (ppb)	4/7/17	N	Not Detected		400	400	Discharge from chemical factories
Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (ppb)	4/7/17	N	Not Detected		0	6	Discharge from rubber and chemical factories
DBCP [Dibromochloropropane] (ppt)	4/7/17	N	Not Detected		0	200	Runoff/leaching from soil fumigant used on soybeans, cotton, pineapples, and orchards
Dinoseb (ppb)	4/7/17	N	Not Detected		7	7	Runoff from herbicide used on soybeans and vegetables
Endrin (ppb)	4/7/17	N	Not Detected		2	2	Residue of banned insecticide
EDB [Ethylene dibromide] (ppt)	4/7/17	N	Not Detected		0	50	Discharge from petroleum refineries
Heptachlor (ppt)	4/7/17	N	Not Detected		0	400	Residue of banned pesticide
Heptachlor epoxide (ppt)	4/7/17	N	Not Detected		0	200	Breakdown of heptachlor
Hexachlorobenzene (ppb)	4/7/17	N	Not Detected		0	1	Discharge from metal refineries and agricultural chemical factories

Hexachlorocyclopentadiene (ppb)	4/7/17	N	Not Detected		50	50	Discharge from chemical factories
Lindane (ppt)	4/7/17	N	Not Detected		200	200	Runoff/leaching from insecticide used on cattle, lumber, gardens
Methoxychlor (ppb)	4/7/17	N	Not Detected		40	40	Runoff/leaching from insecticide used on fruits, vegetables, alfalfa, livestock
Oxamyl [Vydate] (ppb)	4/7/17	N	Not Detected		200	200	Runoff/leaching from insecticide used on apples, potatoes and tomatoes
PCBs [Polychlorinated biphenyls] (ppt)	4/7/17	N	Not Detected		0	500	Runoff from landfills; discharge of waste chemicals
Pentachlorophenol (ppb)	4/7/17	N	Not Detected		0	1	Discharge from wood preserving factories
Picloram (ppb)	4/7/17	N	Not Detected		500	500	Herbicide runoff
Simazine (ppb)	4/7/17	N	Not Detected		4	4	Herbicide runoff
Toxaphene (ppb)	4/7/17	N	Not Detected		0	3	Runoff/leaching from insecticide used on cotton and cattle

Volatile Organic Chemical (VOC) Contaminants – taken by Carteret County

Contaminant (units)	Sample Date	MCL Violation Y/N	Your Water	Range		MCLG	MCL	Likely Source of Contamination
				Low	High			
Benzene (ppb)	5/4/15	N	Not Detected			0	5	Discharge from factories; leaching from gas storage tanks and landfills
Carbon tetrachloride (ppb)	5/4/15	N	Not Detected			0	5	Discharge from chemical plants and other industrial activities
Chlorobenzene (ppb)	5/4/15	N	Not Detected			100	100	Discharge from chemical and agricultural chemical factories
o-Dichlorobenzene (ppb)	5/4/15	N	Not Detected			600	600	Discharge from industrial chemical factories
p-Dichlorobenzene (ppb)	5/4/15	N	Not Detected			75	75	Discharge from industrial chemical factories
1,2 – Dichloroethane (ppb)	5/4/15	N	Not Detected			0	5	Discharge from industrial chemical factories
1,1 – Dichloroethylene (ppb)	5/4/15	N	Not Detected			7	7	Discharge from industrial chemical factories
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene (ppb)	5/4/15	N	Not Detected			70	70	Discharge from industrial chemical factories
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene (ppb)	5/4/15	N	Not Detected			100	100	Discharge from industrial chemical factories
Dichloromethane (ppb)	5/4/15	N	Not Detected			0	5	Discharge from pharmaceutical and chemical factories
1,2-Dichloropropane (ppb)	5/4/15	N	Not Detected			0	5	Discharge from industrial chemical factories
Ethylbenzene (ppb)	5/4/15	N	Not Detected			700	700	Discharge from petroleum refineries
Styrene (ppb)	5/4/15	N	Not Detected			100	100	Discharge from rubber and plastic factories; leaching from landfills
Tetrachloroethylene (ppb)	5/4/15	N	Not Detected			0	5	Discharge from factories and dry cleaners
1,2,4 – Trichlorobenzene (ppb)	5/4/15	N	Not Detected			70	70	Discharge from textile-finishing factories
1,1,1 – Trichloroethane (ppb)	5/4/15	N	Not Detected			200	200	Discharge from metal degreasing sites and other factories
1,1,2 – Trichloroethane (ppb)	5/4/15	N	Not Detected			3	5	Discharge from industrial chemical factories
Trichloroethylene (ppb)	5/4/15	N	Not Detected			0	5	Discharge from metal degreasing sites and other factories
Toluene (ppm)	5/4/15	N	Not Detected			1	1	Discharge from petroleum factories
Vinyl Chloride (ppb)	5/4/15	N	Not Detected			0	2	Leaching from PVC piping; discharge from plastics factories
Xylenes (Total) (ppm)	5/4/15	N	Not Detected			10	10	Discharge from petroleum factories; discharge from chemical factories

Lead and Copper Contaminants – taken by the Town of Beaufort

Contaminant (units)	Sample Date	Your Water	Number of sites found above the AL	MCLG	AL	Likely Source of Contamination
Copper (ppm) (90 th percentile)	6/20/16	0.0411	0	1.3	AL=1.3	Corrosion of household plumbing systems; erosion of natural deposits
Lead (ppb) (90 th percentile)	6/20/16	0.007	0	0	AL=15	Corrosion of household plumbing systems; erosion of natural deposits

Lead and Copper Contaminants – taken by Carteret County

Contaminant (units)	Sample Date	Your Water	Number of sites found above the AL	MCLG	AL	Likely Source of Contamination
Copper (ppm) (90 th percentile)	7/9/17	.905	0	1.3	AL=1.3	Corrosion of household plumbing systems; erosion of natural deposits
Lead (ppb) (90 th percentile)	7/9/17	0	0	0	AL=15	Corrosion of household plumbing systems; erosion of natural deposits

Stage 2 Disinfection Byproduct Compliance – taken by Town of Beaufort Based upon Locational Running Annual Average (LRAA)

Disinfection Byproduct	Year Sampled	MCL Violation Y/N	Your Water (highest LRAA)	Range		MCLG	MCL	Likely Source of Contamination
				Low	High			
TTHM (ppb)						N/A	80	Byproduct of drinking water disinfection
Location (Ex. B01)								
BO1	2017	N	5			N/A	80	
BO1	2015	N	10			N/A	80	
HAA5 (ppb)						N/A	60	Byproduct of drinking water disinfection
Location (Ex. B01)								
BO1	2017	N	14			N/A	60	
BO1	2015	N	16			N/A	60	

Stage 2 Disinfection Byproduct Compliance – taken by Carteret County Based upon Locational Running Annual Average (LRAA)

Disinfection Byproduct	Year Sampled	MCL Violation Y/N	Your Water (highest LRAA)	Range		MCLG	MCL	Likely Source of Contamination
				Low	High			
TTHM (ppb)						N/A	80	Byproduct of drinking water disinfection
Location (Ex. B01)								
BO1	2017	N	11.5			N/A	80	
BO2	2017	N	14.8			N/A	80	
BO1	2016	N	12.8	0	12.8	N/A	80	
BO2	2016	N	14.6	0	14.6	N/A	80	
HAA5 (ppb)						N/A	60	Byproduct of drinking water disinfection
Location (Ex. B01)								
BO1	2017	N	17.7			N/A	60	
BO2	2017	N	8.9			N/A	60	
BO1	2016	N	21.3	0.2	21.3	N/A	60	
BO2	2016	N	10.7	2.3	10.7	N/A	60	

For TTHM: *Some people who drink water containing trihalomethanes in excess of the MCL over many years may experience problems with their liver, kidneys, or central nervous systems, and may have an increased risk of getting cancer.*

For HAA5: *Some people who drink water containing haloacetic acids in excess of the MCL over many years may have an increased risk of getting cancer.*